UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,571	10/30/2003	Felicia Salomon	64373/3	5921
	7590 07/01/200 ELS & GRAYDON L	EXAMINER		
BOX 25, COMMERCE COURT WEST			THOMPSON, MICHAEL M	
199 BAY STREET, SUITE 2800 TORONTO, ON M5L 1A9			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA	•		3629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Notice of About a succession	10/696,571	SALOMON ET AL.		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael M. Thompson	3629		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l l		
This application is abandoned in view of:				
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the		
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €	ite a proper reply, or a bona fide atte	mpt at a proper reply, to the non-		
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.	,			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated		
Allowance (PTOL-85).		,		
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CED 1 19(d) in ©		
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		CFK 1.10(a), is \$		
(o) In the issue fee and publication fee, it applicable, has he	or been received.			
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	iired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of		
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.				
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of		
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clain		e the period for seeking court review		
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:				
Applicant's extension of time on 4/29/2008 was not a 10/29/2007.	accompanied by an reply to the r	non-final rejection mailed		
/John G. Weiss/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629	/Michael M Thompson/ Examiner, Art Unit 3629 June 25, 2008			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1 181 should be promptly filed to		